



de maximis, inc.

186 Center Street
Suite 290
Clinton, NJ 08809
(908) 735-9315
(908) 735-2132 FAX

July 15, 2013

VIA ELECTRONIC & US MAIL

Ms. Stephanie Vaughn
ATTN: Lower Passaic River Remedial Project Manager
Emergency and Remedial Response Division
U.S. EPA, Region 2
290 Broadway, 19th Floor
New York, New York 10007

**Re: Monthly Progress Report No. 74 – June 2013
Lower Passaic River Study Area (LPRSA) Remedial Investigation/ Feasibility
Study (RI/FS)
CERCLA Docket No. 02-2007-2009**

Dear Ms. Vaughn:

de maximis, inc. is submitting this Monthly Progress Report for the above-captioned project on the behalf of the RI/FS Agreement Settling Parties (Cooperative Parties Group or CPG) pursuant to the Administrative Settlement Agreement and Order on Consent (Settlement Agreement or AOC). The Progress Report satisfies the reporting requirements of Paragraph 42 of said Settlement Agreement.

(a) Actions which have been taken to comply with this Settlement Agreement during the previous month.

Meetings/Conference Calls

- On June 20, CPG and EPA and CPG and EPA contractors held a conference call to discuss logistics for the upcoming High Volume (HV) Chemical Water Column Monitoring (CWCM) Event 2.

Correspondence

- On June 6, EPA provided email concurrence with the CPG that the storm and river flow predictions for June 8 and 9 supported a Small Volume (SV) CWCM High Flow (HF) event.
- On June 10, CPG notified EPA via phone call and email of a Force Majeure event consisting of unsafe on-water conditions (high winds) that required postponement of the SV CWCM HF Event 2 sample collection during the afternoon low tide from the Arthur Kill and Kill van Kull.
- On June 12, CPG and EPA exchanged emails regarding EPA confirmation of the schedule for the next HV CWCM event (week of June 24, 2013).
- On June 12, EPA contractor requested fish/crab tissue weight data from the 2009/2010 fish and foraging fish collection surveys from a CPG contractor.

Allentown, PA • Clinton, NJ • Greensboro, GA • Knoxville, TN • San Diego, CA • Riverside, CA
Cortland, NY • Wheaton, IL • Sarasota, FL • Houston, TX • Windsor, CT • Waltham, MA



Ms. S. Vaughn
LPRSA RI/FS - Progress Report No. 74 – June 2013
July 15, 2013
Page 2 of 6

- On June 13, CPG notified EPA that the river conditions required the SV CWCM HF Event 2 falling limb sample collection effort be re-scheduled.
- On June 13, CPG requested a discussion with the EPA regarding the data from HV CWCM Event 1.
- On June 16, CPG notified EPA of the proposed schedule to collect SV CWCM HF Event 2 falling limb samples on June 20 and 21.
- On June 17, CPG submitted the May Monthly Progress Report to EPA.
- On June 18, EPA and CPG exchanged emails regarding logistics and proposed schedule for the HV CWCM Event 2 sampling activities.
- On June 18, EPA requested a conference call with CPG and EPA and CPG contractors to discuss logistics and oversight issues for the HV CWCM Event 2.
- On June 19, CPG confirmed with EPA that the SV CWCM HF Event 2 falling limb samples were still scheduled to be collected on June 20 and 21 and EPA and CPG exchanged additional emails regarding schedule and coordination.
- On June 20, CPG provided EPA sample IDs for the HV CWCM Event 2 split samples.
- On June 21, CPG provided EPA figures for the proposed second Supplemental Sampling Program (SSP 2).
- On June 24, CPG and EPA exchanged emails regarding mapping of sediment sampling data in the upper Lower Passaic River.
- On June 25, CPG and EPA exchanged additional emails regarding mapping of sediment sampling data and GIS data.
- On June 27, CPG provided figures and tables with the data from the SSP 2 probing program.
- On June 28, EPA provided revised definitions of Background and Reference Site for the Risk Assessment/Risk Characterization plan to the CPG.

Work

- CPG completed validation of data from the SV CWCM HF Event #1.
- CPG completed validation of data from the HV CWCM Event #1.
- CPG conducted SV CWCM HF Event #2.
- CPG conducted HV CWCM Event #2.
- CPG initiated chemical analysis of SV CWCM HF Event #2 samples.
- CPG initiated chemical analysis of HV CWCM Event #2 samples.
- CPG conducted a probing program to provide data to assist in the development of SSP 2.
- CPG completed analysis of Background Tissue Survey samples.
- CPG continued validation of data from the Background Tissue Survey.
- CPG continued development of the background and reference site example outline requested by EPA.

Ms. S. Vaughn
LPRSA RI/FS - Progress Report No. 74 – June 2013
July 15, 2013
Page 3 of 6

- CPG continued drafting a data report for the Dissolved Oxygen (DO) Monitoring Survey.
- CPG continued drafting a data report for the results of the upstream sediment chemistry testing associated with the Benthic Background Sediment Survey.
- CPG continued drafting a data report for the results of the upstream taxonomy enumeration associated with the Benthic Background Sediment Survey.
- CPG continued development of a preliminary Conceptual Site Model (CSM) deliverable.
- CPG continued early baseline risk assessment activities (update CSM, benthic and wildlife assessments).
- CPG Modeling Team continued work on decoupling the Hydrodynamic and HQI ECOM-SEDZLJS Sediment Transport Model codes to shorten run times and long-term sediment transport calibration runs.
- CPG Modeling Team continued work on the RCATOX Chemical Fate and Transport Model Code initial conditions and long-term calibration runs.
- CPG Modeling Team continued work on system understanding for hydrodynamic and sediment transport processes in Newark Bay.
- CPG continued review of sediment characteristics and potential target remedy locations in support of initial Feasibility Study (FS) evaluations.
- CPG observed the sample collection from the Clay Street CSO by Tierra.

(b) Results of Sampling and Tests

- On June 18, validated data from the SVCWCM Routine Event 5 was posted to the EPA SharePoint Site.
- On June 18, CPG submitted validated data from the Background Tissue Survey to EPA.

(c) Work planned for the next two months with schedules relating to the overall project schedule for RI/FS completion

- CPG will continue chemical analysis of the samples from the HV CWCM Event 2.
- CPG will continue chemical analysis of the samples from the SV CWCM HF Event 2.
- CPG will continue planning activities to conduct SSP 2.
- CPG will continue drafting a data report for the DO Monitoring Survey.
- CPG will continue validation of data from the Background Tissue Survey.
- CPG will continue drafting a data report for the results of the upstream sediment chemistry testing associated with the Benthic Background Sediment Survey.
- CPG will continue drafting a data report for the results of the upstream toxicity testing associated with the Benthic Background Sediment Survey.
- CPG will continue drafting a data report for the results of the upstream taxonomy enumeration associated with the Benthic Background Sediment Survey.

Ms. S. Vaughn
LPRSA RI/FS - Progress Report No. 74 – June 2013
July 15, 2013
Page 4 of 6

- CPG will continue drafting the Preliminary CSM Report.
- CPG will continue early baseline risk assessment activities (update CSM, benthic and wildlife assessments).
- CPG Modeling Team will continue calibration runs with the HQI ECOM-SEDZLJS and RCATOX codes and inputs.
- CPG Modeling Team will continue to support the CWCM program data evaluation.
- CPG will participate in LPR/Newark Bay Modeling Program progress calls and follow-up modeling collaboration meetings with EPA and EPA consultants.
- CPG will continue initial FS evaluation of targeted remedy locations.

(d) Problems encountered and anticipated problems, actual or anticipated delays, and solutions developed and implemented to address actual or anticipated problems or delays.

- Based upon discussions with Region 2, the CPG understood that Region 2 considered the calibration of the HQI sediment transport and the chemical fate and transport model sufficient to support the revised FFS for the lower 8 miles of the LPRSA in the fall of 2012. Furthermore, the CPG understands that Region 2 received comments related to the FFS model both from the NRRB review conducted in December 2012 and the model peer review in February and March 2013. The CPG has requested that Region 2 provide the results of both the NRRB review and model peer review especially the comments that may be pertinent to the CPG's LPR/NB model development and is awaiting Region 2's decision on releasing the comments. Finally, the CPG understands that it may require up to six months for Region 2's Modeling Team to incorporate, calibrate and re-run the model based on the NRRB and peer review comments received by Region 2 through the spring of 2013. To date, Region 2 has declined the CPG's requests to provide model-related comments from either review. The CPG is continuing to work on both sediment transport model and the chemical fate and transport model for the LPRSA and incorporating the improvements and other changes that CPG has discussed with Region 2. Region 2 and CPG collaboration meetings were conducted throughout 2012 and in February 2013; this most recent and previous meetings are providing an opportunity for both modeling teams to understand differences between each team's approaches. The CPG provided a detailed technical memorandum on its progress on the LPR/NB sediment transport modeling in January to Region 2 as well as providing current code and input and output files which was discussed on February 28. EPA provided Newark Bay SedFlume data and FFS Model input files in March. Delays associated with both the sediment transport modeling and chemical fate transport modeling schedules are extending the completion of the LPRSA RI/FS.
- The CPG understands that the Region 2 approved TMO's CSO/SWO Workplan in May 2011. It is also the CPG's understanding that the Method Detection Studies proposed by TMO and other work such as construction of the proposed mobile

Ms. S. Vaughn
LPRSA RI/FS - Progress Report No. 74 – June 2013
July 15, 2013
Page 5 of 6

centrifuge/CSO sampling trailer required for Phase 1 work were completed in late August 2012. It is also CPG's understanding that the EPA and TMO had agreed in late 2012 to delay the Phase I CSO sampling due to the failure of the PVSC treatment system and other regional POTWs. Furthermore, the CPG understands that delays to CSO Phase 1 sampling were caused by TMO inability to receive permission for the discharge of CSO effluent from its trailer back to the CSO from initially PVSC and then municipalities. Finally, TMO did not complete the Phase 1 CSO Sampling in May 2013 as outlined in its latest schedule submitted to Region 2. TMO did collect an initial sample from the Clay Street CSO on June 10 which CPG has identified a number concerns that it plans to share with EPA. Additionally, the CPG understands that the municipal access issues are unresolved and will further delay completion of Phase 1. The extent of the LPRSA RI/FS schedule impacts associated with the ongoing delays in the Phase 1 sampling schedule is significant. Moreover, there are likely to be significant delays of the Phase 2 CSO field schedule that will prevent a timely completion of the TMO CSO Study and adversely impact the timely completion of the LPRSA RI/FS. Specifically TMO's CSO Study schedule included in an approved CSO Study QAPP amendment. The revised CSO Study schedule indicates that validated data from Phase 2 will be available in late 2015 date which is one year after the anticipated Draft RI report submission in 2014 and FS submission in 2015. TMO's CSO schedule is an unacceptable delay to the LPRSA RI/FS schedule. The CPG is evaluating alternative approaches for CSO/SWO data to be used in the LPR/NB Chemical Fate & Transport Model to maintain a LPRSA RI/FS Study completion date of first quarter 2015.

- Region 2 provided comments on July 11, 2011 which disapproved the revised risk assessment planning documents and required submission of revised documents within 30 days. Additionally, Region 2 provided a technical memo on July 25, 2011 on fish and crab consumption rates for the LPRSA Human Health Risk Assessment. The CPG filed a notice of dispute resolution in accordance with Section XV of the AOC on July 25, 2011 in response to Region's July 11, 2011 directive letter and July 25, 2011 technical memorandum. The revised RARC and TRV deliverables were submitted on August 9, 2011. On September 6, 2011 the CPG submitted a position paper in response to EPA's July 11, 2011 comments and July 25, 2011 technical memorandum. Dispute resolution meetings were held on December 1, 2011 and January 13, 2012. Region 2 provided the results of the dispute resolution to the CPG on February 7, 2012 and the CPG implemented the changes specified in the July 2011 directive comments and the results of the dispute resolution in the revised RARC which was submitted on April 13, 2012. Region 2 provided comments on the revised RARC on August 30, 2012; the CPG met with Region 2 on January 7, 2013 to discuss remaining background and reference issues and had a telephone call with EPA on January 28 to discuss cooking loss.

Ms. S. Vaughn
LPRSA RI/FS - Progress Report No. 74 – June 2013
July 15, 2013
Page 6 of 6

Since early in 2013, Region 2 has promised to provide revised definitions for background and reference that the CPG can include in the revised RARC. These definitions were received by the CPG on June 28, It is the CPG's understanding that the issuance of the revised definitions was held-up by the NJDEP, NOAA and US FWS which did not accept definitions that are otherwise acceptable to the Region and the USACE, and which appear to be acceptable to the CPG based on the CPG's understanding of the revised definitions. The CPG will review the June 28 definitions and determine if they are consistent with the CPG's previous understanding based on its discussions with the Region. If they are not then the CPG reserves its rights under the AOC. Following CPG review and discussions and clarifications with the Region, the CPG is prepared to resubmit the revised final RARC later this summer to Region 2 for final approval under the presumption that the revised definitions are consist with the CPG's previous discussions with the Region.

If you have any questions, please contact me or Rob Law at (908) 735-9315.

Very truly yours,

de maximis, inc.

Willard F. Potter / wf

Willard F. Potter
Project Coordinator

cc: Pat Hick, EPA Office of Regional Counsel
William Hyatt, CPG Coordinating Counsel
Lisa Baron, USACE
Tim Kubiak, USFWS
Reyhan Mehran, NOAA
Janine MacGregor, NJDEP
Elkins Green, NJDOT
Laura Kelmar, AECOM